

Public Health England

Analysis of Drug Resistant Properties of A2780 Ovarian Cancer Cell Lines Using Label-Free Automated Microscopy (IncuCyte ZOOM)

## Andrew Bashford, Jim Cooper.

Protecting and improving the nation's health

Scientific Development Group, Culture Collections, National Infection Service



### INTRODUCTION

Adriamycin (doxorubicin) and cisplatin are frequently used cancer therapeutics which interfere with DNA replication by different mechanisms. The A2780 series of ovarian cancer cell lines are unique to The European Collection of Authenticated Cell Cultures (ECACC) and its distributors. They have been effective in vitro tools for cancer research for over 20 years $^{(1,2)}$ .

A2780cis (ECACC 93112517) and A2780ADR (ECACC 93112520) (derivatives of A2780 (ECACC 93112519) are resistant to cisplatin and Adriamycin respectively. They are useful in the study of cancer biology and resistance to chemotherapies, enabling the search for new treatments.

Drug resistance has been quantified in these cell lines using  $IC_{50}$  values; the dose of a drug which causes a 50% inhibition in normal cell growth. The methods employed to determine  $IC_{50}$  values often have different durations (4-21 days), they are labour intensive and can yield inconsistent results<sup>(1-4)</sup>.

### METHODS

Authenticated cell lines were provided from ECACC and grown according to recommended instructions. Cells were seeded in 96-well plates at densities of 3x10<sup>4</sup> cell/cm<sup>2</sup> (A2780) and 1x10<sup>4</sup> cell/cm<sup>2</sup> (A2780cis, A2780ADR) followed by addition of a drug dilution series.

• Cisplatin (P4394, Sigma) dissolved in PBS was diluted in media (final well concentration: 500µM-0.001µM).

• Adriamycin (44583, Sigma) was dissolved in DMSO and diluted in media, (final well concentration: 150µM-0.001µM). DMSO was serially diluted in media to act as vehicle control.

Plates were incubated in the IncuCyte ZOOM (Essen BioScience). Phase contrast images were obtained every two hours to provide data for confluence determination. For cell number count, nuclear stain Syto16 (S7578, Life Technologies) was added after 120 hours and fluorescently imaged.

To independently characterise the cell lines and investigate whether they have retained their drug resistant properties, we developed a novel automated approach using the IncuCyte ZOOM and a label-free assessment of  $IC_{50}$  values. The study demonstrated a proof of principle for the analysis of in vitro drug resistance using cell lines.



We used the two distinct parameters of confluence and cell count and subsequent analysis using GraphPad Prism7 to determine  $IC_{50}$  values. Adriamycin treatment was normalised using DMSO controls and no difference was seen between  $IC_{50}$  values calculated using normalised or raw values.

### RESULTS

have

drug

than

A2780

• The three cell types displayed a similar morphology and the IncuCyte accurately quantified confluence and cell number (fig 2).

- Cells reached mid-log phase after ~72 hours as determined by growth curve.
- IC<sub>50</sub> values calculated using confluence (72 hours) or cell number (120 hours) produced very similar  $IC_{50}$  values (fig 3, fig 4).
- A2780cis showed a ten-fold resistance to cisplatin.
- A2780ADR showed over ten-fold resistance to Adriamycin.
- These results are consistent with those published over 20 years ago generated using different experimental techniques<sup>(1,3-6)</sup> (table 1).







### **Table 1.** IC<sub>50</sub> values for A2780, A2780cis and A2780ADR (experimental and published data)

Drug	Method	Time after seeding (hr)	IC <sub>50</sub> values						
			A2780 (µM)	A2780cis (µM)	A2780ADR (µM)				
Experimental IC <sub>50</sub>									
Cisplatin	Cell number	120	0.736	7.044	1.009				
	Confluence	72	0.438	7.812	0.997				
		Range :	0.4 - 0.7	7 - 8	1				
Published IC <sub>50</sub> (Refs: 1, 3, 5)									
Cisplatin		Range:	0.3 - 1.1	8-39	1.4				

		Time after seeding (hr)	IC <sub>50</sub> values					
Drug	Method		A2780 (µM)	A2780cis (µM)	A2780ADR (µM)			
Experimental IC <sub>50</sub>								
Adriamycin	Cell number	120	0.007	0.016	0.070			
	Cell number (DMSO normalised)	120	0.005	0.012	0.060			
	Confluence	72	0.004	0.023	0.071			
	Confluence (DMSO normalised)	72	0.004	0.010	0.086			
		Range :	0.004 - 0.007	0.01 - 0.02	0.06 – 0.9			
Published IC <sub>50</sub> (Refs: 4, 5, 6)								

Log10 Adriamycin Concentration (µM

Log10 Adriamycin Concentration (µM)

### Log10 Adriamycin Concentration (µM)

Adriamycin

Range: 0.01 - 0.1

1.6-710

### **DISCUSSION & CONCLUSION**

• A2780cis and A2780ADR are an order of magnitude resistant to their respective therapeutic agents. These results are consistent with the originally published data describing these cell lines $^{(1+2)}$ .

• The IncuCyte ZOOM enabled the automation of imaging and quantification of confluence and nuclear count data.

• By comparing  $IC_{50}$  determination using cell number we have shown that cell confluence is a valid parameter to determine  $IC_{50}$  in these cell lines.

• This study has verified that A2780 and its drug resistant counterparts, A2780cis and A2780ADR, have retained resistance as reported over 20 years ago. The selective culture conditions used in the production of these cell lines in ECACC has ensured the cells continue to be fit for purpose.

• This study has demonstrated the proof of principle of a label-free, fast and convenient way study in vitro drug resistance.

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Special thanks go to Edward Burnett and Joseph Taylor of the Scientific Development Group for on-going help and advice relating to project work.

## REFERENCES

- 1) Behrens, B. C. et al., 1987. 'Characterization of a cis-diamminedichloroplatinum(II)-resistant human ovarian cancer cell line and its use in evaluation of platinum analogues', Cancer Res, 47:414-8
- 2) Behrens, B. C. et al., 1984. 'Resistance and cross-resistance of human ovarian cancer cell lines to Adriamycin, melphalan, and irradiation', Proc. Am. Assoc. Cancer Res, 25: 336.
- 3) Masuda, H., R. et al., 1988. 'Increased DNA repair as a mechanism of acquired resistance to cisdiamminedichloroplatinum (II) in human ovarian cancer cell lines', Cancer Res, 48: 5713-6.
- 4) Louie, K. G. et al., 1985. 'Radiation survival parameters of antineoplastic drug-sensitive and resistant human ovarian cancer cell lines and their modification by buthionine sulfoximine' Cancer Res, 45: 2110-5.
- 5) Sasaki, H. et al., 1991. 'Human ovarian cancer cell lines resistant to cisplatin, doxorubicin, and Lphenylalanine mustard are sensitive to delta 7-prostaglandin A1 and delta 12-prostaglandin J2', Gynecol Oncol, 41: 36-40.
- 6) Hamilton, T. C. et al., 1984. 'Experimental model systems of ovarian cancer: applications to the design and evaluation of new treatment approaches', Semin Oncol, 11: 285-98.